

[2] PAVEL PUDLAK, *Ramsey's theorem in bounded arithmetic*, **4th Workshop, computer science logic**, Lecture notes in computer science, vol. 533, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1991, pp. 308–317.

- PAULO OLIVA, *On the extraction of polynomial-time algorithms from ineffective proofs in feasible analysis*.

Department of Computer Science, University of Aarhus, Ny Munkegade, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark.

E-mail: pbo@brics.dk.

Consider as a *base for feasible analysis* Cook and Urquhart's [1] system CPV^ω extended with the axiom of arithmetical choice for quantifier-free formulas QF-AC. This system has a simple functional interpretation (via negative translation) in IPV^ω , which allows one to extract polynomial-time computable realizers from proofs of Π_2^0 -theorems (i.e., formulas of the kind $\forall x \exists y A(x, y)$, A being quantifier-free) in $CPV^\omega + QF-AC$. In [3] an extension of this functional interpretation to include a 'feasible' formulation of weak König's lemma for Π_1^0 -definable trees is given by extending IPV^ω with a simple form of binary bar recursion \mathcal{B} , i.e., it is shown that the theory $CPV^\omega + QF-AC + \Pi_1^0-WKL^\omega$ has a functional interpretation (via negative translation) in $IPV^\omega + \mathcal{B}$. Moreover, in [3] it is also proven that the type one terms of the system $IPV^\omega + \mathcal{B}$ are polynomial-time computable. This gives a procedure for extracting polynomial-time realizers from proofs of Π_2^0 -theorems in $CPV^\omega + QF-AC + \Pi_1^0-WKL^\omega$. The Π_2^0 -theorems are even allowed to make use of 0-1 oracles as free-variables.

The base system $CPV^\omega + QF-AC$, which when extended with $\Pi_1^0-WKL^\omega$ is strong enough for proving e.g., the Heine/Borel covering lemma, is an extension to higher types of a subsystem of Ferreira's [2] BTFA (Base Theory for Feasible Analysis), for which Π_2^0 -conservation of WKL has been non-constructively proven.

BRICS – Basic Research in Computer Science, funded by the Danish National Research Foundation.

[1] S. COOK AND A. URQUHART, *Functional interpretations of feasibly constructive arithmetic*, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, vol. 63 (1993), pp. 103–200.

[2] F. FERREIRA, *A feasible theory for analysis*, *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, vol. 59 (1994), no. 3, pp. 1001–1011.

[3] P. OLIVA, *Polynomial-time algorithms from ineffective proofs*, Submitted, 2003.

- MAURICIO OSORIO AND JUAN ANTONIO NAVARRO, *Modal Logic $S5_2$ and $FOUR$* , Universidad de Las Americas, Puebla, Santa Catarina Martir s/N, Departamento de Ingeniería en Sistemas, Cholula Puebla 72820 Mexico.

E-mail: josorio@mail.udlap.mx.

Departamento de Matematicas, Santa Catarina Martir S/N, San Andres Cholula, Puebla 72820 Mexico.

E-mail: ma108907@mail.udlap.mx.

Our connectives for $S5$ are \Box (Box), \sim (negation) and \vee (or). $A \rightarrow B$, $A \& B$, and $d A$ are considered as abbreviations in the standard way.

Consider the axiom schemata:

$$F1 := d A \rightarrow b A,$$

$$F2 := ((d A1 \& d A2) \& b \sim(A1 \& A2)) \rightarrow b(A1 \vee A2), \quad \text{and so on.}$$

For every Natural number i the reader can picture the axiom schema F_i .

For every Natural number i , $S5_i$ denotes $S5$ extended with the schema F_i .

We show that $S5_i$ is determined by the class of reflexive, transitive, and symmetric frames of size at most i . $S5_1$ is the "not very interesting" (sometimes) called Trivial modal logic. This family of logics define a strictly descending chain, where $S5_i$ is strictly stronger than

$S5_{(i+1)}$. Moreover, we present a translation of $S5_2$ into the well known bilattice FOUR that preserves theorems. FOUR has the for values $\{0, 1, t, f\}$. We write $\& 1$ and $\vee 1$ to denote the meet and join operators w.r.t. truth ordering. We have also two negation operators -1 (negation) and -2 (conflation):

$$\begin{aligned} -1(t) &:= f, & -1(f) &:= t, & -1(1) &:= 1, & \text{and} & -1(0) &:= 0. \\ -2(t) &:= t, & -2(f) &:= f, & -2(1) &:= 0, & \text{and} & -2(0) &:= 1. \end{aligned}$$

We define the function f from modal formulas into FOUR formulas as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f(A) &:= A \text{ if } A \text{ is atomic.} \\ f(b A) &:= f(A) \ \& 1 \ - f(A). \\ f(\sim A) &:= -1 \ -2 f(A). \\ f(A \vee B) &:= f(A) \vee 1 f(B). \end{aligned}$$

A t -tautology is a formula that evaluates always to t . We show that A is theorem of $S5_2$ iff $f(A)$ is a t -tautology.

We observe that $S5_2$ agrees with $S5$ in a non trivial fragment of formulas, interestingly enough for applications in computer science. Hence, we show that a small but relatively interesting fragment of $S5$ can be translated to FOUR.

- ▶ WIM RUITENBURG, *Intuitionistic theories whose Kripke models are all T-normal ones.* Department of Mathematics, Marquette University, P.O. Box 1881, Milwaukee WI 53201, USA.

E-mail: wimr@mscs.mu.edu.

Given a set of sentences T , a Kripke model is T -normal, or locally T , if all its node structures are (classical) models of T . Samuel Buss constructs a theory $H(T)$ which is sound and complete for the locally T Kripke models. We show that if, additionally, all models of $H(T)$ are locally T , then $H(T)$ is axiomatizable by geometric sentences. This answers a question implied by Wolfgang Burr's Mathematical Review MR 2001h:03115.

[1] SAMUEL R. BUSS, *Intuitionistic validity in T-normal Kripke structures*, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, vol. 59 (1993), pp. 159–173.

- ▶ KSENIJA SIMIC, *Reverse mathematics of Hilbert spaces.* 221 Gross St., Pittsburgh, PA 15224, USA.

E-mail: ksimic@andrew.cmu.edu.

To date, little research has been done in the area of Hilbert spaces from the point of view of weak subsystems of second order arithmetic. In this talk, I will give the definitions and introduce basic concepts relevant to developing the theory of Hilbert spaces in this framework, and then state some results regarding orthogonal projections and closed sets. I will also discuss the mean ergodic theorem, and show that it is equivalent to arithmetic comprehension over the base theory RCA_0 .

- ▶ STEPHEN M. WALK, *Blanketing functions and a conjecture about array computable degrees.* Department of Mathematics, ECC 139, 720 4th Avenue South, St. Cloud State University, St. Cloud, Minnesota 56301-4498, USA.

E-mail: smwalk@stcloudstate.edu.

We define a *blanketing function* for a c.e. degree \mathbf{a} to be a function $f \leq_{wt} K$ that dominates all \mathbf{a} -computable functions. The concept comes from Downey, Jockusch, and Stob's definition of *array computable* [1]: A degree \mathbf{a} is array computable, by definition, if and only if such a function f exists.

Every blanketing function must have high c.e. degree, and it is natural to wonder *which*